Million $ Match! Donate Now to Maximize Your Impact!

ARTICLE

EPA Rule Could Put Small Meat Processors Out of Business — and Leave Consumers Out of Choices, Critics Say

The EPA proposal would set new pollution limits on wastewater from meat processing facilities and discharge into surface waters with disproportionately affect small meat processors. Many say these rules are unnecessary and could put them out of business.

By Michael Horvatinits, Ph.D.

Environmental Rights Fund

Environmental Rights Fund

Mini a day, mini a lot. Subscribe to The Defender's Top News of the Day. **It's free.**

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is proposing new limits on how much pollution meat processing facilities can discharge into surface waters.

The EPA said the proposed rule change will "improve water quality and protect human health and the environment."

But some critics argue it does will hurt small processing facilities that want to do so in efforts that are unnecessary to comply with the new rules.

Small facilities will either shut down, resulting in fewer local meat sources for consumers, or they will have to pay for expensive processes, contributing to even greater consolidation of the meat industry.

Meat processing facilities discharge the top local foods movement and local producers, a trend underway for years, Katrina Forrest, a lawyer at the Food Safety Coalition and other organizations, [commends opposing the proposed rule.](#)

Small meat producers unable to sustain these costs'

Representatives of the groups say the proposed rule may [only opposed the EPA's proposal.](#) Tracey Barton, Kansas Natural Resource Coalition's executive director, said:

"The proposed EPA rule will require costly upgrades for meat processing facilities that discharge to surface waters. Many of these facilities cannot afford such upgrades and would apply to stay in their current business, a 50% meat processors to. That's going to capture your small local meat processors."

According to American Stewards of Liberty, the EPA's current rule, enacted in 1974, [is outdated and](#) the U.S. applies only to "approximately 200 of the 2,800 meat and poultry processors in the U.S."

Byfield said the rule would put small processors out of business and add to further concentration in the meat industry:

"The cost of the regulation is what is going to run these small meat processors out of business. It's not the cost of the product or choice if they want to buy their food from big companies.

"And you probably know there's a huge movement right now of people who want consumers to know where their food comes from and they want to have four major meat processors in America, the big guys."

According to Barton, an estimated 2,000 meat processing facilities are expected to be removed from the U.S. food supply if the rule change goes through as written.

"There are also national registers often in rural communities that are small meat processors that are going to be impacted by this rule and increase consolidation and requiring hard reduction in Byfield."

Howard Vlieger, a member of the board of directors of [GROWTown that USA,](#) told The Defender the EPA's proposed rule is devoid of [common sense.](#)

"The first question that I would ask is, what is their desired outcome? Is the agency wanting to drive small packers out of business? I'm not sure.

According to Barton, what prompted the EPA's proposed was "an environmental group that sued EPA," which is the Natural Resources Defense Council and Environmental Protection Agency, which [filed EPA petitioner](#) within four months ... agreeing to [the rule change.](#)

Barton said the environmental organization [filed the lawsuit](#) in January 2022, alleging most meat processing facilities were not governed by water pollution standards.

As a result of the settlement, the EPA determined that updating existing water pollution rules for meat processing facilities were "appropriate" — leading to the proposed rule.

Byfield said, "The rule is in effect yet" and that "the next step in the process is for the agency to take public comments on the rule — newer rider can based on those comments and then issue a new final rule."

However, she warned the EPA may not accept [those](#) [comments.](#)

"Typically, in the process, you have a second comment period ... However, what we've seen with this administration is that they've been reluctant to do that. And the

Gary Brown → Peter van der Stam
5 days ago

This is a definitive article for you Peter. Be blessed and thanks for your time and comment.

February 24, 2023 1500 Scientists Say 'There Is No Climate Emergency' – The Real Environment Movement Was Hijacked

Are you aware that 1500 of the world's leading climate scientists and professionals in over 30 countries have signed a declaration that there is no climate emergency and have refuted the United Nations claims in relation to man-made climate change?

https://www.globalresearch....

see more

10 0 Reply

brbg → Gary Brown
4 days ago

The head of the UN's Climate committee was already caught saying that the "Climate Crisis" is just a way to divest the US of her wealth.

4 0 Reply

Gary Brown → brbg
4 days ago

Absolutely! The U.N.'s Agenda 21 comes to my mind.

3 0 Reply

Ricky Ricardo → Gary Brown
5 days ago

Sorry, Gary; but you're wrong on this one "1500 Scientists Say "Climate Change Not Due to CO2". That sentence is typical of the "Appealing to Authority" manipulative techniques that I've seen so many times. There *is* an existential threat to humanity and the planet and it has nothing to do with the "Climate Change/Global Warming" agenda, or co2.
I, and hundreds of other people who actually understand the state of the world better than most, have given this link on so many different sites, so many times.
geoengineeringwatch.org
Dane Wigington is a scientist and he's been researching Geoengineering in its many forms for over 15 years. Actually; I think it's longer than that. He's been trying to wake people up through that site for 15 years. Regardless; this weather modification/manipulation/warfare has been going on for over 75 years...right under people's noses!
There is no "Conspiracy Theory" here, no opinion, no supposition. Dane gives us the FACTS, backed up by credible

see more

3 0 Reply

Gary Brown → Ricky Ricardo
4 days ago edited

CO2 is plant food. This is from NASA friend.

Apr 26, 2016 Rising CO2 Levels Greening Earth by NASA Goddard

From a quarter to half of Earth's vegetated lands has shown significant greening over the last 35 years largely due to rising levels of atmospheric carbon dioxide, according to a new study published in the journal Nature Climate Change on April 25. The greening represents an increase in leaves on plants and trees equivalent in area to two times the continental United States.

see more

4 0 Reply

Gary Brown → Ricky Ricardo
4 days ago

More on the Sun that controls everything on Earth.

Mar 13, 2023 Climate Forcing Playlist

Climate Change 33 videos

3 0 Reply

1555 → Ricky Ricardo
3 days ago

For my degree in Biology, we studied all this in detail.
Plants breathe CO2 and give us Oxygen back, most of 24 hours. So:

No CO2=no plants.

No Plants = no Oxygen= No Humans or other life.

NASA told us pre-Covid that polar caps are melting even on Mars. NASA also added that our Sun is the driver of climate in our solar system. So the Sun, not CO2 is driving climate change in cycles.

Climate engineering is a whole other issue, including shooting up incredible amounts of electricity into the Ionosphere in order to create weather, and cause disasters presented as natural events.

2 0 Reply

Ricky Ricardo → 1555
6 hours ago

I'm not disputing the symbiotic relationship between plants and humans. I believe I learned all of that in grade six. Those same people will never tell the truth about what's really going on with the weather.
BTW: Methane is infinitely deadlier than any co2. Have a look at this page:
https://duckduckgo.com/?q=m...

0 0 Reply

Gary Brown → Ricky Ricardo
4 days ago edited

I have more on this topic for you I have had filed since you know this.

October 10, 2018 Weather Warfare: Beware the US Military's Experiments with Climatic Warfare

'Climatic warfare' has been excluded from the agenda on climate change.

https://www.globalresearch....

2 0 Reply

Ricky Ricardo → Gary Brown
3 days ago

It's good to see that they mentioned DEW's and HAARP. Most of those "wildfires" are NOT caused from "nature behaving badly". It's from people who are playing God behaving badly! Here's a list of patents for you:
https://www.geoengineeringw...
...and on the subject of "wildfires:
https://www.geoengineeringw...

1 0 Reply

Gary Brown → Ricky Ricardo
3 days ago

You should also enjoy this recent publication with links to many more sources.

April 21, 2024 Global WAR NING! Geoengineering Is Wrecking Our Planet and Humanity

Part I - Geoengineering, Politics, and the Planet

https://www.globalresearch....

THE DEFENDER IS AVAILABLE IN:

ENGLISH SPANISH PORTUGUESE GERMAN ITALIAN FRENCH

Children's Health Defense

Children's Health Defense® is a 501(c)(3) non-profit organization. Our mission is to end childhood health epidemics by working aggressively to eliminate harmful exposures, hold those responsible accountable and establish safeguards to prevent future harm. We fight corruption, mass surveillance and censorship that put profits before people as well as advocate for worldwide rights to health freedom and bodily autonomy.

TAKE ACTION

DONATE FREE SIGN-UP BECOME A MEMBER

Donations are tax deductible to the full extent of the law. EIN #26-0388604

Children's Health Defense • Legal Disclaimer • Terms of Use • Privacy Policy • Donate • About Us • Work for CHD • Contact • Social • Membership Login & FAQ

© 2016 - 2024 Children's Health Defense® • All Rights Reserved • Sitemap